(27)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Writ Petition (C) No. 4459/2007

PETITIONER	Smt. Ramadevi Sharma, the President Priyadarshini Mahila Prathmik Sahkari Upbhokta Bhandar, w/o Babulal Sharma, aged about 58 years, r/o Ward No.2, Kosa Nagar, Bhilai, Tahsil & District Durg (C.G)
	Versus
RESPONDENT	1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of Food, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G).
	2. The Collector, Food Branch, the Collectorate, Durg (C.G)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Appearance:

Shri M.P.S. Bhatia, counsel for the petitioner

Shri Ajit Singh, Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER

(02.08.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J,

Heard on admission.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the President of *Priyadarshini Mahila Prathmik Sahkari Upbhokta Bhandar,* which runs a Fair Price Shop in Ward No.2, Kosanagar. His submission is that earlier 1025 card holders were consumers of the aforesaid Society, but now 197 consumers have been removed and they have been declared the consumers of some different shop. Therefore, now the petitioner is only having 828 consumers. He draws my attention to clause 8 of the order notified by C.G. Khadya, Nagrik Apurti Evam Upbhokta Samrakshan Vibhag, Raipur vide Annexure P-3 dt.23.12.2004. He submits that this petition has been filed for issuance of writ for quashing of order dated 7.6.2007 (Annexure P-1) reducing the number of

consumers from the petitioner's Society, alongwith other reliefs.

I have gone through the Scheme. In my opinion, the petitioner should firstly bring this fact to the notice of the concerned authority calling their attention towards Clause 8 of the aforesaid order of 2004 and also raising her grievance after affecting reduction from her shop.

In this view of the matter, for the time being, the petition is disposed of with a direction that the petitioner, if so advised, may file an appropriate application before the Concerned authority making mention of Clause 8 of the aforesaid Order 2004. If such an application is filed the concerned authority shall dispose of the same in accordance with law.

With the above direction/observation, this petition stands finally disposed of at the motion stage.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge